

The WEAVE-LOFAR survey

Daniel J.B. Smith & The WEAVE-LOFAR Team

Research Fellow, University of Hertfordshire WEAVE-LOFAR Science Team Leader

daniel.j.b.smith@gmail.com www.herts.ac.uk/~dsmith/



The WEAVE-LOFAR Survey Outline

- The WEAVE instrument
- LOFAR Surveys KSP
- Highlights from the WEAVE-LOFAR Survey science case
- The WEAVE-LOFAR Survey
- Summary



What is WEAVE? The WHT Enhanced Area Velocity Explorer

- A multi-object spectrograph, with:
 - 1,000 x 1.3" fibres
 - 2 deg diameter field of view
 - R=5,000 with coverage from 370nm to 1µm
 - R=20,000 with reduced coverage
 - mIFU and LIFU modes
 - See Dalton et al. (2012) for details
- First light in 2017, then five years of survey operations. One of the primary surveys that it will do is follow-up of LOFAR targets
- www.ing.iac.es/weave/







LOFAR: the Low Frequency Array Surveys Key Science Project

Tier-1, the "Wide", will cover whole northern hemisphere, multi-frequency, 0.1 mJy RMS at 120 MHz; SFR sensitivity > Herschel

Tier-2, the "Deep", will cover 100s of deg² to faint flux limits (25 μ Jy RMS @ 120 MHz)

Tier-3, the "Ultra-Deep", will cover 10s of deg² to sensitivities > the deepest existing imaging (6 μ Jy @ 150 MHz)

Details: Röttgering et al. 2011

Steve Rawlings Array, Chilbolton



Superterp, NL





WEAVE-LOFAR Science Case: What is the nature of the faint radio source population?

- The star-formation history of the Universe
- Accretion and AGN-driven feedback
- Probing the Epoch of Reionization
- Cosmology
- Protoclusters & Clusters Halos/Relics



The future of star formation is at radio frequencies Star forming galaxies dominate the faint sources in new radio data



"There's nothing as useless as a radio source" Jim Condon, 2013



log (Frequency)

Radio source spectra are well-described by power laws:

 $S_{\nu} \propto \nu^{-\alpha}$

No redshift information!

Spectroscopy is essential to get redshifts

Spectra also critical to split SF and RQ-AGN, distinguish accretion modes



Getting the redshift information that we need Why can't we use photometric redshifts?

- Faint radio sources are among the most difficult sources for photo-z:
 - AGN (radio loud & quiet)
 - high-EW emission lines
- Bright emission lines make radio sources ideal for spectroscopy
 - You don't have to detect the continuum
 - Can classify sources
 - Spectroscopy is very efficient

Also lots more science possible with spectroscopy...





The star-formation and accretion history of the Universe What can WEAVE-LOFAR tell us (very abridged)?

Which galaxies formed all of the stellar mass in the Universe?

What is the relationship between star formation and the different accretion modes?

Obscuration free, how star formation & AGN activity varies as a function of Stellar Mass, Environment, Redshift

What is the physical mechanism of the correlation with far-IR?

What is the origin of the radio-loudness dichotomy?

Search for starburst/AGN-driven outflows; individual and statistical

How was the Universe re-ionized?

University of Hertfordshire





The star-formation and accretion history of the Universe Why low-frequency selection?

Radio surveys offer the best way to trace SF and AGN:

- Supreme sensitivity
- Dust independent (i.e. even Compton thick AGN)
- Deep confusion limits
- Detect SMG-like galaxies at z > 5!
- Low frequencies are (always?) better





150 MHz selection vs the "Main Sequence" What sort of galaxies does it give us?



WEAVE-LOFAR How to realize the science case

WEAVE-LOFAR represents a unique capability in the Northern hemisphere

Spectroscopic follow-up of:

- 100,000s of LOFAR-selected sources
- in three tiers

We will get a complete picture of SF and AGN coevolution since z < 1.3 and z > 2

• (and a lot more!)

University of Hertfordshire

Fields include: ELAIS-N1, Lockman Hole, Bootes, XMM-LSS, COSMOS, HATLAS-NGP, HETDEX-N, etc

First light: end of 2017 then 5 years of surveys



WEAVE-LOFAR How to realize the science case

WEAVE-LOFAR represents a unique capability in the Northern hemisphere

Spectroscopic follow-up of:

- 100,000s of LOFAR-selected sources
- in three tiers

We will get a complete picture of SF and AGN coevolution since z < 1.3 and z > 2

• (and a lot more!)

University of Hertfordshire

Fields include: ELAIS-N1, Lockman Hole, Bootes, XMM-LSS, COSMOS, HATLAS-NGP, HETDEX-N, etc

First light: end of 2017 then 5 years of surveys



z



Thanks for listening

Drop me an email if you're interested in contributing! daniel.j.b.smith@gmail.com

The WEAVE-LOFAR Team Current team members

Dan Smith (Herts), Huub Röttgering (Leiden), Matt Jarvis (Oxford), Chris Simpson (UK), Philip Best (Edinburgh), Gavin Dalton (Oxford), Martin Hardcastle (Herts), Elizabeth Mahony (Astron), Pepe Montes (IAA, Spain), Emanuela Orru (Astron), Tim Shimwell (Leiden), Wendy Williams (Leiden)

David Bacon (Portsmouth), Chris Conselice (Nottingham), Kristen Coppin (Herts), Tim Davis (Herts), Chiara Ferrari (Nice), Barbara Lo Faro (Marseille), Gulay Gurkan (Herts), Nancy Hine (Herts), Eleni Kalfountzou (Herts/ESA), Matt Lehnert (Paris), Natasha Maddox (Groningen), Sean McGee (Birmingham), George Miley (Leiden), Rafaella Morganti (Astron), Bob Nichol (Portsmouth), Seb Oliver (Sussex), Shaun Read (Herts), Emma Rigby (Leiden), Aayush Saxena (UCL), Paul van der Werf (Leiden), Sarah White (Oxford), Michael Wise (Astron) & *the LOFAR Surveys team*



LOFAR surveys: Opening up a new window on the Universe

Members Core team Röttgering¹²⁴⁹ (Leiden), Barthel¹⁴⁹⁸ (Groningen), Best¹²⁴³ (Edirburgh), Brüggen¹ (Bremen), Brunetti² (Bologna), Chyży²²⁸ (Kraków), Conway⁵⁹² (Göteborg), Jarvis¹⁴⁴⁹ (Hertfordshire), Lehnert²⁸ (Meudon), Miley¹²⁴⁵ (Leiden), Morganti⁴⁸ (Dwingeloo), Wise²⁴⁵ (AS-TRON)

Regular members: Haverkorn⁸ (ASTRON), Jackson⁷ (Manchester), White⁸⁸ (Open University), Abdalla9 (UCL London), Anderson (MPIfR Bonn), Arnaud2 (Meudon), Bacon79 (Porismouth), Beck^a (Bonn), Beswick³⁶⁶ (Manchesler), Brentjens² (ASTRON), Britzen^a (Bonn), Conselice (Nottingham), Croston² (Southampton), Dettmar⁶ (Bochum), Fales⁶ (Cardiff), Edge² (Durham), Engels² (Hamburg), Enßlin² (Garching), Falcke¹⁴⁵ (Nijmegen), Feretti² (Bologna), Ferrari² (Nice), Franx⁸ (Leiden), Garreit⁸⁷ (ASTRON), Génova-Santos¹ (IAC), Hardcastle (Hertfordshire), Hendry⁹ (Glasgow), Hoefl⁹ (Tautenburg), Horellou²¹⁴ (Onsala), Isral⁶ (Leiden), Ivison⁵ (Edinburgh), Jamrozy⁷⁵ (Krakow), Kassim⁸ (Washington), Kauffmann⁴ (Gatching), Klein^a (Bonn), Kuijken^a (Leiden), Kunert-Bajraszewska⁴⁵ (Torun), Lobanov⁵ (Bonn), Martecki (Torun), Marti-Vidal⁶ (Onsala), Martinez-Sansigre (Portsmouth), McKean¹⁷ (AS-TRON), Merloni⁴⁵ (Garching), Middelberg⁴ (Bochum), Murgia⁴⁵ (IAC), Nichol⁹ (Portsmouth), Oliver¹ (Sussee), Oosterloo⁶ (ASTRON), Otmianowska-Mazur (Krakow), Page⁴ (London), Paragi (ITVE), Pentericci¹² (Rome), Percival⁹ (Portsmouth), Peters⁵ (Washington), Polatidis⁵ (ASTRON), Prandoni³⁴ (IAC), Raychaudhury² (Binningham), Reich⁴ (Bonn), Schwarz⁹ (Bieleveld), Simpson¹⁴ (Liverpool), Steinmetz⁴ (Potsdam), Strom¹⁸⁸ (ASTRON), Tadhunter⁵ (Sheffield), Valentijn⁹⁵ (Groningen), van der Werf 5 (Leiden), van Driel⁶ (Meudon), van Weeren¹⁵³ (ASTRON/Leiden), Varenius⁴ (Gothenburg), Vink⁸ (Amsterdam), White⁴ (Garching), Wisotzki⁴ (Potsdam), Wucknitz⁷ (Bonn), Zarb-Adami⁶ (Oxford), Zensus⁸ (Bonn)

Postdocs: Asgekar⁴ (ASTRON), Bertacca⁴ (UWC), Birzan²³⁸ (Leiden), Bonafede² (Bremen), Bonfield⁹ (Hertfordshire), Cassano² (IAC), Deller (ASTRON), Dwelly² (Southampton), Faltenbacher¹ (UWC), Heald⁴ (ASTRON), Heesen³⁸ (Hertfordshire), Heywood⁹ (Oxford), Johnston⁹ (UWC), Kapinska (Portsmouth), Kloeckner³⁴ (Oxford), Konig (Koln), Macario² (Nice), Mahony (ASTRON), Mauch³⁴ (Oxford), McKay (Chilboton), McKee³ (Leiden), Oonk⁵ (ASTRON), Orru¹²⁸⁸ (Nijmegen), Patel⁹ (Portsmouth), Pizzo² (ASTRON), Raccanelli⁹ (Portsmouth), Rafferty²⁸⁰ (Leiden), Sabater Montes⁴ (Edinburgh), Seymour² (Sydney), Smith⁹ (Herts), Smith¹ (UWC), Stewart (Bonn), Tasse⁴ (Meudon), Tudose (ASTRON), Vaccari⁹ (UWC), van Benmel (ASTRON), Zwarl⁹ (UWC)

PhDs: Batejal ¹⁴ (Golhenburg), De Gasperin⁴⁵ (Garching), Deane⁴ (Oxford), Drzazga²⁶ (Krakow), Fielding⁴ (Fdinburgh), Guglielmino⁴⁶ (Bologna), Harwood⁸ (Hertfordshire), Heidenreich⁴ (Southampton), Israel⁴ (Leiden), Junkelwitz² (Garching), Junusik⁴ (Krakow), Ker¹⁸⁴ (Edinburgh), Kuligowska⁴⁶ (Krakow), Lazell⁵ (Birmingham), Lindsay⁶ (Hertfordshire), Madhanpall⁶ (UWC), McAlpine⁶ (UWC), Morabito¹ (Leiden), Natt⁴ (Open University), Ogrean² (Bremen), Rubart⁴ (Bieleveld), Shulevski⁵ (Groningen), Stroe⁵ (Leiden), Temourian¹ (Hertfordshire), Trasatti² (Bonn), van Velzen¹ (Nijmegen), Williams⁴⁶ (Leiden).

WEAVE-LOFAR Fields Where will this be done?

The best large-scale northern fields are:

HETDEX northern field 420 deg² HATLAS NGP: 140+ deg², HATLAS Equatorial: 180+ deg² Stripe 82: 300+ deg²

Deep fields: XMM-LSS, COSMOS, Bootes, Lockman, ELAIS-N1 etc.

Wide Tier: Galactic Halo





Some science goals of WEAVE-LOFAR Radio sources with redshifts are very informative!

- Precisely trace the assembly history of galaxies as a function of mass, environmental density and galaxy type
- Probe the relationship between accretion and starformation over cosmic history, with large samples of radio quiet AGN & SFGs
- Discriminate between efficient (cold a.k.a. QSO-mode/ high-excitation) and inefficient (hot or radio mode, lowexcitation) radio galaxies
- Find the rarest sources (e.g. radio sources in the Epoch of Re-ionization) for 21cm absorption
- What causes the RL-RQ dichotomy and how does it evolve
- Statistical reverberation mapping and accretion disk structure



- Learn to derive good photometric redshifts, including separating the AGN from the SFGs
- Measure galaxy velocity dispersions and metallicities, virial black hole masses in QSOs
- Complete samples of high redshift proto-clusters for galaxy evolution and cosmology
- Accurate redshifts for SN host cosmology
- Precise *separable* redshift distributions for cosmology over wide fields (informed by the deep fields)



Accretion and AGN-driven feedback Why should we care about this?

(c) Interaction/"Merger"

soc tells

 now within one halo, galaxies interact & loss argular momentum
 SFR source to intresise usefar winds demonster feedback

- rarely excite QSOs (only special orbits)

(b) "Small Group"



 galaxies coalesce: violent relaxation in core
 gas inflows to conten: starburst & buriet (X-ray) AGN

 starburst dominates luminosity/feedback, but, total stellar mass formed is small



 Bi-F grows repainly briefly doministra luministry/headback remaining duritigs expelled get reddened (but not Type II) QSO neuraticegoing SF in host, high Eddington ratios mengre signatures still visible



(f) Quasar

 dust removed now a "analitional" QSO
 host merphology difficule to observe: tidal features hade rapidly
 characteristically blas/yeang spheroid

(g) Decay/K+A





 QSO luminosity fados rapidly

 ridial leasures visible only with way deep observations
 remain raddem capidly (\$=A)(k=A)
 'hos halo'' from fieldback

 this rapidly capital cooling

(h) "Dead" Elliptical



 large BH/sphanoid - efficient Redback
 hale grows to 'tage group' scales: margars become inafficient
 growth by "dry" margars

Credit: Hopkins et al. 2008



SFR sensitivity Dependence on FIR SED and spectral index





The new radio continuum surveys LOFAR surveys



LOFAR Tier 1: "Large Area" Whole northern sky, 10x as deep as FIRST; similar to ASKAP-EMU in the South

LOFAR Tier 2: "Deep" ~500 deg², 10 M_{solar}/yr @ z=1

LOFAR Tier 3: "Ultra Deep" 100 deg², deeper than deepest existing data ULIRGs at z=8!

